

Safer Bromley Partnership - (Quarter 4)

MOPAC London Crime Prevention Fund 2015/16 – Annual Monitoring Form

Project B4	Domestic Abuse Advocacy Projects					
If a financial variance is reported please explain the reasons for it	N/A					
Please outline the project outcomes	OUTCOME	BASELINE/ TARGET	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
	Outcome1: Increase in conviction rate by 0.5% per year	Target: 66.2%	72%	70%	68%	83%
	OUTCOME	BASELINE / TARGET	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
	Outcome 2: Satisfaction with service	Target: 80% or more are satisfied with service	100%	83%	100%	100%
	OUTCOME	BASELINE / TARGET	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
	Outcome 3: Feelings of safety	Target: 60% or more reporting an increase in feelings of safety	94%	75%	100%	98%
	OUTCOME	BASELINE / TARGET	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
	Outcome 4: Confidence	Target: 60% or more reporting an increase in confidence	94%	76%	100%	100%
Are there any risks to be highlighted?						
None.						
Summary of project achievements (in addition to the reporting against outcomes)						
The project supported 140 clients this period, and again exceeded on its targets, with the 83% conviction rate for those cases that went to Court representing a 22% increase from the previous quarter.						
The Community IDVA continues to have a positive impact on communities within the borough. Having now established her role in the community, she has delivered a number of workshops and awareness-raising events, including:						
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Weekly DV awareness-raising sessions and one-to-one support at Bromley's one-stop shop; • Regular attendance at the Penge and Cator Police Ward Adult Safeguarding panel meetings; • Presentations on IDVA and SBV projects to Adults Social Services staff; • Attendance of the Community Advisory Meeting to disseminate information on services to the Penge Forum; 						

- Facilitation of a weekly drop-in service, 'Catherine's Group,' at Community Vision Children's and Family Centre;
- Delivery of one-to-one and small group Risk Assessment review, analysis and support sessions to Adults Social Services staff at Bromley Children's Project;
- Delivery of an awareness-raising event for the LGBT community in Bromley.

In additional, the Community IDVA supported a caseload of 75 clients (39 new cases; 36 cases closed) during this quarter.

Any additional issues which were not anticipated at the start or mid-year point of the project?

None.

Please provide confirmation of achieved matched funding, clearly specifying where matched funding is in kind.

Support in kind is received from Bromley Police, who provide office space and IT equipment for two of the three IDVAs.

If matched funding has reduced from that expected, please specify reasons why.

N/A

Please confirm if matched funding has changed for future years, from that specified in the final approved bid.

N/A

Project B5	Community Domestic Abuse Projects					
If a financial variance is reported please explain the reasons for it	The claim for Q2 was reduced by £2,500 in relation to the Perpetrator Programme, as there was a decision taken not to pay TRYangle for April 2015, following further review of their performance. DVIP took over the contract in May 2015.					
Please outline the project outcomes One Stop Shop	OUTCOME (OSS)	BASELINE/ TARGET	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
	Outcome 1: Maintain attendance year on year while increasing access to other channels	Target: 300 attendees over the year	90	66	85	74 (315 total)
Keys to Freedom	OUTCOME	BASELINE / TARGET	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
	Outcome 2: Reduced experience of abuse (six months after completing course)	Target: 70% or more state they have reduced experience of abuse	90%	83%	100%	Only three months since last course – so no final results
	OUTCOME	BASELINE / TARGET	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
	Outcome 3: Reduce Child Protection involvement (for those who had CP due to domestic abuse) six months after completing course	Target: 70% or more reduced CP involvement	100%	86%	100%	Only three months since last course – so no final results
	OUTCOME	BASELINE / TARGET	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
	Outcome 4: Increased feelings of safety	Target: 80% or more reporting an increase in feelings of safety	88% (group finished w/c 13/07/15)	No groups over school summer holidays	83%	82%
	OUTCOME	BASELINE/ TARGET	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
	Outcome 5: Increased ability to spot and deal with abusive behaviour	Target: 80% or more reporting an increase ability to spot and deal with abusive behaviour	94% (group finished w/c 13/07/15)	No groups over school summer holidays	94%	100%
	OUTCOME	BASELINE/ TARGET	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
	Outcome 6: Increase self-esteem and confidence	Target: 80% or more report an increase in self-esteem and	100% (group finished w/c 13/07/15)	No groups over school summer holidays	88%	100%

		confidence				
	OUTCOME	BASELINE/ TARGET	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
	Outcome 7: Increased understanding of the effects of domestic abuse on children	Target: 80% or more report an increase understanding	94% (group finished w/c 13/07/15)	No groups over school summer holidays	94%	88%
Perpetrator programme	OUTCOME	BASELINE/ TARGET	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
	Outcome 8: Number of men completing 30 hours of treatment	Target: 12 men over the year	0 – new provider took over May 2015, none completing this quarter	0	0	5
	OUTCOME	BASELINE/ TARGET	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
	Outcome 9: Increased in women and children's safety (partners of the men on the group)	Target: 70% of those evaluated report an increase in safety where the perpetrator has attended at least 12 sessions	N/A – new provider took over May 2015, none completing this quarter	N/A	N/A	100% of those evaluated at 12 session stage
	OUTCOME	BASELINE/ TARGET	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
	Outcome 10: Increase in perpetrators' acknowledgement of the effects of their DV on their partners' physical / mental health	Target: 75% acknowledge some or considerable effects by completion stage of the course	N/A – new provider took over May 2015, none completing this quarter	N/A	N/A	No completions yet
	OUTCOME	BASELINE/ TARGET	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
	Outcome 11: Increase in perpetrators' acknowledgement of the impact of their DV on their children	Target: 75% acknowledge some impact by completion of the course	N/A – new provider took over May 2015, none completing this quarter	N/A	N/A	No completions yet
	OUTCOME	BASELINE/ TARGET	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4

	Outcome 12: Increase in overall family safety and wellbeing (only measured when children services is involved)	Target: 75% of key working social workers report on overall increase in family safety and well-being	N/A – new provider took over May 2015, none completing this quarter	N/A	N/A	No data yet – all men reaching 12 sessions are still actively involved with programme so evaluation will be sought at end of involvement
	OUTCOME	BASELINE/ TARGET	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
	Outcome 13: Reduction in DV offending after completing at least 12 sessions	Target: 60% of perpetrators attending at least 12 sessions have no further call outs 12 months after leaving programme	N/A – new provider took over May 2015, none completing this quarter	N/A	N/A	No data yet
Domestic Abuse and VAWG commissioner	OUTCOME	BASELINE/ TARGET	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
	Outcome 14: Multi-agency training	Target: To deliver four days training over the year	1	1	0	0
	OUTCOME	BASELINE/ TARGET	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
	Outcome 15: Multi-agency training	Target: 70% or more participants evaluating the training as excellent	69%	62%	N/A	N/A

Are there any risks to be highlighted?

The target for the number of perpetrators completing 30 hours of treatment will not be met. It has taken longer than anticipated for DVIP to bed in within Bromley; however, the service is now operating well, and a total of five perpetrators will complete the treatment. Additionally, the client group has proved resistant to the course; ongoing outreach work is being undertaken to counteract this.

Summary of project achievements (in addition to the reporting against outcomes)

One-Stop Shop

As stated previously, in the interests of ensuring that all clients receive timely and relevant support, BWA has made it possible for clients unable to attend the OSS to receive multi-agency advice via telephone or email, following research that indicated clients' inability to attend was as a result of financial constraints, disabilities, or a reluctance to have dependents in attendance. The OSS has proved a crucial starting point for both male and female victims of domestic abuse as it is often the first point of contact they have ever made with services – therefore having the services all together at the same time makes seeking help much more

straightforward. Once advice has been gained from the OSS, it often leads to ongoing support from at least one of the services.

Keys to Freedom

The outcomes of the Keys to Freedom course demonstrate that all targets are being exceeded.

Perpetrator Programme

DVIP has undertaken 22 assessments in 11 months and has received a total of 49 referrals. The group programme commenced in Q3, once the required number of suitable referrals had been reached. The retention rate has been good, with only 3 dropping out of the programme, and 10 men currently actively participating. A femal perpetrator is awaiting 1-2-1 tailored sessions, following assessment. 5 of the men have completed 12 sessions (30 hours) and so attempts were made to contact (ex) partners to obtain evaluation feedback; of these, two agreed to participate in evaluation. Partners of 8 of the ten men on the programme are receiving support and contact from DVIP's Women's Support Service. Social Workers are trying to contact the other two. Partners of the 3 men who commenced the group but then stopped attending are also receiving ongoing support from the Women's Support Worker, and they are entitled to do so for up to 4 months from the perpetrators' conclusion of involvement with the programme. Evaluation is therefore limited but feedback so far has been very positive, with both stating that they feel they and their children are much safer, that there has been no further violence, and that they have found the Women's Support Service very worthwhile. One woman stated, "He is like a different man now," and the other that, "It is the best thing that has happened to us as a family; it has turned our lives around."

DV and VAWG Commissioner

The Domestic Abuse and VAWG Commissioner post was empty for part of Q3, as a result of unanticipated staff absence (emergency maternity leave); it was briefly filled but the postholder left for a permanent post elsewhere, and we have recently employed another replacement. The target for training was therefore not met, but 6 training courses will be delivered in 2016/17 to meet the shortfall this year.

Any additional issues which were not anticipated at the start or mid-year point of the project?

None.

Please provide confirmation of achieved matched funding, clearly specifying where matched funding is in kind.

N/A

If matched funding has reduced from that expected, please specify reasons why.

N/A

Please confirm if matched funding has changed for future years, from that specified in the final approved bid.

N/A

Project B6	Safer Bromley Van					
If a financial variance is reported please explain the reasons for it	N/A					
Please outline the project outcomes	OUTCOME	BASELINE/ TARGET	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
	Outcome1: Number of referrals	Target: 400 referrals over the year	70	65	77	54
	OUTCOME	BASELINE/ TARGET	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
	Outcome 2: Timescale of works (excluding victims of DV)	Target: Work is completed within 15 days or less	100% of those who responded	100% of those who responded	100%	100%
	OUTCOME	BASELINE/ TARGET	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
	Outcome 3: Timescales of works for victims of DV	Target: Work is completed in 5 days or less	100% of those who responded	100% of those who responded	95%*	100%
	OUTCOME	BASELINE/ TARGET	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
	Outcome 4: Repeat victimisation of Bromley van clients	Target: 5% or less are re-victimised within a year	0%	0%	0%	0%
	OUTCOME	BASELINE/ TARGET	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
	Outcome 5: Client feelings of safety	Target: 90% or more report increased feelings of safety	100%	96%	100%	100%
Are there any risks to be highlighted? In order to increase more self-referrals, we have (and are continuing to) increased outreach opportunities so that we can raise awareness about what the SBV can offer Bromley residents. This quarter, these include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Continuing to supply Bromley Met Police with BSV leaflets to cascade through Operation Bumblebee; Building in a minimum referral target for our two Victim Support IDVAs, based at the police station, to ensure all clients and, in particular DV clients, have access to the resource; Providing local Bromley hubs such as GP surgeries, libraries and Children and Family Centres, with leaflets promoting the SBV, which are displayed in communal areas, as well as raising awareness when delivering presentations to Social Services teams. Providing the Community IDVA with targets for referrals to the SBV for individual clients in her caseload. 						

Summary of project achievements (in addition to the reporting against outcomes?)

In Q4 the SBV received 54 referrals, of which 11% were self-referrals, 25% were from the police, 57% were from Victim Support's core services, and 7% came from other agencies. Of these, 39% related to Domestic Violence.

The project has achieved 54% of its referral targets, and represents a 23% decrease in referrals from previous quarters. This is in part due to staffing changes, and a lock-fitter on long-term sick leave – staff cover was commissioned, but there was a gap in service provision while training was provided. An action plan has been put in place to rectify action during Q1 of next year. By the end of Q1 2016/17 we anticipate the project will continue to deliver targets for client satisfaction response time and reduced victimisation, as well as focusing on increasing overall referral rates to ensure the referral targets are achieved.

In Q4, we safeguarded/identified 16 children through the SBV who were seen by the lock fitter at the property, or were known to be living at the property where the client was a victim of domestic abuse.

Any additional issues which were not anticipated at the start or mid-year point of the project?

None.

Please provide confirmation of achieved matched funding, clearly specifying where matched funding is in kind.

N/A

If matched funding has reduced from that expected, please specify reasons why.

N/A

Please confirm if matched funding has changed for future years, from that specified in the final approved bid.

N/A

Project B9	Community Safety Mentoring Programme					
If a financial variance is reported please explain the reasons for it	N/A					
Please outline the project outcomes <						

	Outcome 6: Reduction in first time entries to the Youth Justice System	Baseline: (2012) 108 Target: 5% reduction (103) over the year	Local data (as yet unverified) indicates an 8% increase in comparison with Q1 last year	Local data (as yet unverified) indicates no increase/decrease in comparison with Q2 last year.	Local data (as yet unverified) indicates a 47% decrease in comparison with Q3 last year.	Local data (as yet unverified) indicates a 7% decrease in comparison with Q4 last year. The overall decrease for the year is 18.52%
Are there any risks to be highlighted? None.						
Summary of project achievements (in addition to the reporting against outcomes) <p>During Q4, 86 young people received the support of a mentor. 9 new mentors were trained during this quarter, and 13 undertook the additional training in order to work with young offenders. 12 are due to attend training in March 2016. We received 47 new referrals in this quarter.</p> <p>Evaluation undertaken has proved very positive, with 100% of young people questioned reporting that they were happy with their matched mentor and that mentoring is a very good idea. The following quotes from young people illustrate this:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Having a mentor has helped me to try and achieve personal targets and goals. She has made me want to do things I didn't want to do before. She has made me feel I can achieve and she has really motivated me.</i> <i>She tells me what to do if things go bad and says well done if things are good.</i> <i>I knuckled down more in class. I don't get distracted by things as much. I'm working harder with my mentor.</i> 						
Any additional issues which were not anticipated at the start or mid-year point of the project? None.						
Please provide confirmation of achieved matched funding, clearly specifying where matched funding is in kind. <p>Organisation: LBB Amount of funding: £32,000 Description: Comprising of staff salary and running costs (including Council recharges) for the project (but excludes strategic management overhead). This is sourced from Education Business Partnership, Behaviour Service and Children's Social Care budgets.</p> <p>Additionally, there is the in-kind contribution of time spent by mentors delivery to the mentoring relationships.</p>						
If matched funding has reduced from that expected, please specify reasons why. N/A						
Please confirm if matched funding has changed for future years, from that specified in the final approved bid. N/A						

Project B12	Targeted Anti-Social Behaviour Initiatives					
If a financial variance is reported please explain the reasons for it	N/A					
Please outline the project outcomes Operation Crystal element <i>All outcomes measures will be reported to and scrutinised by the SBP board and Public Protection and Safety Policy, Development and Scrutiny Committee</i> Anti-Social Behaviour Targeted Neighbourhood Noise Initiative element <i>Measured on Bromley's Public Protection main database (Idox)</i>	OUTCOME	BASELINE/ TARGET	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
	Outcome 1: 5% reduction year on year in reporting of ASB in the Crystal targeted area for 4 months after the crystal operation, compared with the previous year.	Baseline will differ dependant on area Target: 5% reduction in reporting in targeted area	Complaints have dropped from 24 to 6, which is a reduction of 75%.	Complaints have dropped from 93 to 58, which is a reduction of 37.63%.	Complaints have dropped from 18 to 14, which is a reduction of 22%.	Complaints have increased from 10 to 19, which is an increase of 90%. Overall, complaints have dropped from 145 to 97, a reduction of 33.1%.
	OUTCOME	BASELINE / TARGET	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
	Outcome 2: 5% increase year on year in local residents reporting "no issues of concern" in local area	Baseline will differ dependant on area Target: 5% reduction	Not reported by MPS in this quarter	Not reported by MPS in this quarter	Not reported by MPS in this quarter	Not reported by MPS in this quarter
	OUTCOME	BASELINE / TARGET	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
	Outcome 3: Documented exit strategy agreement implemented	Strategy signed and implemented by end of 15/16	Not reported in this quarter	Work has started with identifying participatory groups	Draft report has been completed. A meeting has been set up to look at implementation timetable and relevant participants.	Exit strategy nearing completion; draft plans formulated
	OUTCOME	BASELINE / TARGET	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
	Outcome 4: 2% reduction in complaints / instances of ASB in terms of	Target: 3264 or less (annual	643	791	364	401

	neighbourhood noise	figure)				
	OUTCOME	BASELINE / TARGET	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
	Outcome 5: 20% increase in formal action abate noise nuisance (notices served) by the end of the project (16/17)	Target: 46	8	5	9	7
	OUTCOME	BASELINE / TARGET	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
	Outcome 6: To reduce the number of tipping tonnage by 15% over three years (5% year on year) based on first year figures for Crystal sites.	Baseline: 98.2 Target: 88.6 tonnage	10.4t, from 12t in the same period last year – a reduction of 13%.	3.6t, from 5.2t in the same period last year – a reduction of 30.77%.	11.26t, from 10.1t in the same period last year – an increase of 9%.	5.75t, from 19t in the same period last year – a reduction of 69.73%.
<p>Are there any risks to be highlighted? As reported previously, the number of complaints regarding neighbourhood noise have reduced so significantly that this outcome now needs revisiting; there are very limited opportunities to take formal action, and it is pursued in 100% of relevant cases.</p> <p>Summary of project achievements (in addition to the reporting against outcomes) Operation Crystal continues to meet its objectives, despite some reductions in assistance by partner agencies. As before, we have focused all efforts on the MOPAC targets, and periphery operations are only conducted as a secondary matter; visits to high-profile offenders continue. The target-hardening operation is complete: a car park has been built on a primary site used for fly-tipping and arsen, and there have been no incidents since completion.</p> <p>The increase in ASB complaints in Q4 is correlated to a reduction in Police commitments to the Operation; it is anticipated that the exit strategy will counteract this trend. Overall, complaints have reduced by 33.1% over the past year; the target was 5%.</p> <p>The exit strategy consultation is nearing completion, and we are working with residents' associations to formulate action plans, to start in September. The process has involved Councillors, residents' groups, the Police and a number of Council departments.</p> <p>Over the course of the year, the fly-tipping tonnage has reduced from 46.3t to 31.01t, a percentage reduction of 33.02%.</p>						
<p>Any additional issues which were not anticipated at the start or mid-year point of the project? None.</p>						
<p>Please provide confirmation of achieved matched funding, clearly specifying where matched funding is in kind. N/A</p>						
<p>If matched funding has reduced from that expected, please specify reasons why. N/A</p>						
<p>Please confirm if matched funding has changed for future years, from that specified in the final approved bid. N/A</p>						

ANNEX 6: Quarterly monitoring form

Please complete this quarterly monitoring report for the first three quarters of each financial year for the grant claims for each of your initiatives providing both financial and non-financial details regarding the progress made to date on the project. This report is to be returned to MOPAC by the dates set out below. After you have received confirmation of the quarterly payment to be made you will be required to raise an invoice in accordance with clause 5 and Annex 7 below and **payment will follow the receipt of the approved invoice** (assuming satisfactory completion of the monitoring form). For any queries in relation to this form please contact crimeprevention@mopac.london.gov.uk

Name of Local authority: London Borough of Bromley

Quarter 4 return	B4	B5	B6	B9	B12	TOTALS
1. Grant Allocation for the year	£145,039.00	£82,119.00	£27,073.00	£58,000.00	£86,000.00	£398,231.00
2. Grant allocation cumulative to this quarter	£145,039.00	£82,119.00	£27,073.00	£58,000.00	£86,000.00	£398,231.00
3. Grant received to date for this financial year	£108,779.25	£61,589.25	£20,304.75	£43,500.00	£64,500.00	£298,673.25
4. Actual cumulative spend to end of quarter	£145,039.00	£79,619.00	£27,073.00	£58,000.00	£86,000.00	£395,731.00
5. Cumulative commitments/ forecasts	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
6. Total actual and committed spend	£145,039.00	£79,619.00	£27,073.00	£58,000.00	£86,000.00	£395,731.00
7. Cumulative variance to date	£0.00	£2,500.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£2,500.00
8. Quarterly claim now made	£36,259.75	£20,529.75	£6,768.25	£14,500.00	£21,500.00	£99,557.75
9. Forecast spend for remaining quarters of year	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
10. Total actual forecast spend for year	£145,039.00	£79,619.00	£27,073.00	£58,000.00	£86,000.00	£395,731.00
11. Forecast variance for year	£0.00	£2,500.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£2,500.00

* Please note – the cumulative spend includes salary (in accordance with the MOPAC agreement), which is why some of the Q2 payments have already been made.

Please note that MOPAC reserves the right to amend any of the forms within the grant agreement as required.

TREASURY, FINANCE OFFICER OR EQUIVALENT CERTIFICATION

I certify to the best of my knowledge and belief that:

- a) The information provided is correct; and no other grants or contributions have been or will be payable for the expenditure in respect of the grant being claimed;
- b) The expenditure has been incurred only for the purposes set out in the terms and conditions of the grant agreement for the crime prevention fund

Signature:	
Name (printed):	
Position:	
Date:	

CHIEF EXECUTIVE DECLARATION

I certify to the best of my knowledge and belief that:

- a) The information provided is correct; and that a true account of delivery against the project outcomes has been provided.

Signature:	
Name (printed):	
Position:	
Date:	

Please note that MOPAC reserves the right to amend any of the forms within the grant agreement as required